Black-Box Kernel-Level Performance Modeling for Tuning DG on GPUs

James Stevens <jdsteve2@illinois.edu> Andreas Klöckner <andreask@illinois.edu>
Department of Computer Science · University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign · Urbana, IL

Abstract

We present a mechanism to **automatically** gather symbolic performance-relevant operation counts from GPU kernels expressed in the Loopy programming system, apply these counts in a **simple**, **linear model** of kernel run time.

- We use a series of 'performance-instructive' kernels to **fit the parameters** of a unified model to the performance characteristics of GPU hardware from **multiple hardware generations and vendors**.
- We evaluate the model's predictive power an array of computational kernels relevant to scientific computing.
- Our simple, **vendor- and GPU-type-independent** model achieves accuracy comparable to that of previously published work using *hardware-specific* models.

Modeling Execution Time

Model execution time as linear combination of kernel properties

$$T_{\text{wall}}(\mathbf{n}) \approx \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{properties}}} \alpha_i p_i(\mathbf{n}),$$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is a parameter set governing problem size and α_i is the weight (run time cost) for the ith property.

Properties

What contributes linearly to kernel execution time?

Three execution cost categories



Five cost factors used to sort kernel stats into properties

Can a **linear** model account for **nonlinearities**? Yes!
Example: On a GPU, global loads and stores may overlap.



Runtime is nonlinear in load/store count. We can model this nonlinear relationship with a combination of three properties:

Want: $T_{\text{data}} = t_{\text{loads}} + t_{\text{stores}} - \min(t_{\text{loads}}, t_{\text{stores}})$
Model: $T_{\text{data}} \approx \alpha_l n_{\text{loads}} + \alpha_s n_{\text{stores}} + \alpha_m \min(\mathbf{n}_{\text{loads}}, \mathbf{n}_{\text{stores}})$

We expect weights $0 < \alpha_l \approx \alpha_s \approx -\alpha_m$

Measurement Kernels

Property costs are revealed through execution of carefully chosen measurement kernels:

- Vector addition (add four vectors)
- Vector copy; store; stride-{1, 2} scale and add
- Filled stride-{2, 3} vector sum reduction (stride-{2, 3} access, but use all data)
- Non-square {tiled, naive} matrix multiplication
- Transpose (with and without prefetching)
- One arithmetic kernel per arithmetic property
- Empty kernel



Property Matrix

One column per **property**

$$\text{One row of properties per measurement kernel}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_1^1(n_1)}{T_1} & \dots & \frac{p^1_{N_{props}}(n_1)}{T_1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{p_1^{N_{knls}}(n_{N_{knls}})}{T_{N_{knls}}} & \dots & \frac{p^{N_{knls}}_{N_{props}}(n_{N_{knls}})}{T_{N_{knls}}} \end{bmatrix}\right. \begin{bmatrix}\alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{N_{props}}\end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix}1 \\ \vdots \\ 1\end{bmatrix}$$

Property **weights**

Divide properties by measurement kernel run times so linear least squares finds weights **minimizing relative error**.

Loo.py: Transformation-based code generation for GPUs and CPUs



Loo.py, a programming system embedded in Python, meets the challenge of **heterogeneous computing** by defining a **data model** for array-style computations and a library of **transformations** that operate on this model.

Transformations: Loop tiling, instruction-level parallelism, vectorization, unrolling, prefetching, AoS↔SoA, and more!

Specify **mathematical intent**:

```
knl = make_kernel(
  "{ [i,j]: 0<=i<n and 0<=j<m }", # domain
  "out[i,j] = 2*a[i,j]+b[i,j]", # instr. 1
  assumptions="n,m >=1")
```

Specify **transformations**:

```
knl = split_iname(knl, "i", 128,
        outer_tag="g.1", inner_tag="l.1")
knl = split_iname(knl, "j", 128,
        outer_tag="g.0", inner_tag="l.0")
```

Gathering Kernel Statistics

1. Recursively traverse **instruction expression tree** of a Loopy kernel, counting stats for single instruction
2. Determine how many times instruction executes

Instruction 1 (above) contains

- 2 32-bit stride-1 float loads
- 1 32-bit stride-1 float store
- 1 32-bit float multiplication
- 1 32-bit float addition

and executes $n * m$ times.

Statistics dict:

```
{'f32s1L': 2*n*m,
 'f32s1S': n*m,
 'f32-mul': n*m,
 'f32-sum': n*m}
```

Applications

In **performance optimization**, aid in **exploring search space** of program transformations.

In **algorithm design**, identify **largest contributors to computational cost**.



In **load balancing**, accurate predictions of workload run times enable **better scheduling decisions**.

In **machine bringup and qualification**, our measurement procedure can **expose bottlenecks** and unexpected interactions, and help compare processor architectures.

Contributions

- A set of **hardware-independent kernel properties** that account for kernel run times with considerable accuracy.
- A procedure for **automatic extraction of kernel statistics** as piecewise quasi-polynomials.
- A set of **measurements** and a **fitting procedure** to, in a black box and unassisted fashion, determine hardware-specific weights for each property.

References

[1] Klöckner, Andreas. *"Loo.Py: Transformation-based Code Generation for GPUs and CPUs"* Proceedings of ACM SIGPLAN International Workshop on Libraries, Languages, and Compilers for Array Programming, ARRAY'14, 82:82-82:87.

Initial Results (General)



Actual vs. predicted execution time; 4 test kernels on 4 GPUs

Kernel	Nvidia GTX Titan X	Nvidia Tesla C2070	Nvidia Tesla K40c	AMD Radeon R9 Fury	Cross-GPU Geo-Mean
Finite Diff	0.30	0.10	0.01	0.63	**0.11**
Skinny MM	0.08	0.10	0.13	0.28	**0.13**
N-Body	0.32	0.27	0.54	0.76	**0.43**
Convolution	0.10	0.13	0.03	0.23	**0.10**
Cross-Kernel Geo-Mean	**0.16**	**0.14**	**0.06**	**0.42**	

Geometric means of relative error in model prediction

DG Framework

Grudge: Purpose-built description language for DG operators

- Takes description of PDE; "compiles" it into OpenCL code
- Focus on performance rather than generality
- Built on top of **loo.py**; kernels **transformable** at runtime

Example DG Kernel

Weak form: $0 = \int_k u_t \varphi - F(u) \cdot \nabla \varphi x + \int_{\partial_k} (\hat{n} \cdot F)^* \varphi S_x$

$$\partial_t u^k = -\sum_\nu \mathbf{D}^{\partial_\nu, \mathbf{k}}[\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{u^k})] + L^k[\hat{n} \cdot F(u^k) - (\hat{n} \cdot F)^*]|_{A \subset \partial_k}$$

Element-local differentiation: derivative mats × field data



Many optimization options. Best use of limited local mem?
- Prefetch tiles from deriv mats? Field data? Both? Neither?

Use performance model to tune at runtime!

Initial Results (DG Local Differentiation Kernel)



Model accuracy for 3 configurations of DG differentiation kernel on Titan X GPU. Geo-mean error: **.06**

Next Steps

- Achieve cross-GPU model accuracy for common DG kernels
  - Additional mem access properties, measurement kernels
  - Smarter properties, e.g., group global mem access by bus widths touched
- Implement automated performance tuning in Grudge